1 UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT 2 DISTRICT OF NEVADA 3 4 JENNIFER BARTELL, Case No. 2:22-cv-01220-EJY 5 Plaintiff, **ORDER** 6 v. 7 KILOLO KIJAKAZI, Acting Commissioner of Social Security, 8 Defendant. 9 Before the Court is Plaintiff's Application for Leave to Proceed in forma pauperis. ECF No. 10 11 1. Plaintiff challenges the Social Security Administration's denial of Social Security Disability 12 Insurance benefits. 13 Plaintiff submitted the declaration required by 28 U.S.C. § 1915(a) showing an inability to 14 prepay fees and costs or give security for them. Plaintiff's request to proceed in forma pauperis is 15 granted. Because Ms. Bartell is represented by Counsel, her Complaint is not screened for sufficiency. Further, counsel for Ms. Bartell appears to have sought summonses as required 16 17 including to: (1) Office of the Regional Chief Counsel, Region IX, Social Security Administration, 18 160 Spear Street, Suite 800, San Francisco, CA 94105; (2) the Attorney General of the United States, 19 950 Pennsylvania Avenue, NW, Washington DC 20530; and (3) United States Attorney's Office for 20 the District of Nevada. If service on any of the above has not been requested, Counsel for Plaintiff 21 is advised to seek service upon such person identified above. 22 Accordingly, 23 IT IS HEREBY ORDERED that Plaintiff's Application for Leave to Proceed in forma 24 pauperis (ECF No. 1) is GRANTED. 25 IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that Plaintiff's Complaint (ECF No. 1-1) shall proceed against 26 Defendant. 27 Retention of counsel does not preclude in formal pauperis status. Adkins v. E.I. DuPont de Nemours & Co.,

335 U.S. 331, 342-43 (1948); Handley v. Union Carbide Corp., 622 F. Supp. 1065 (S.D. W.Va. 1985).

28

Case 2:22-cv-01220-EJY Document 4 Filed 08/02/22 Page 2 of 2

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that the Clerk of the Court shall detach and file Plaintiff's Complaint (ECF No. 1-1). IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that the Clerk of the Court shall detach and issue the Summonses (ECF No. 1-3). IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that Defendant shall have sixty (60) days from the date of service to file his answer or responsive pleading to Plaintiff's Complaint in this case. IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that, henceforth, Plaintiff shall serve upon Defendant a copy of every pleading, motion, or other document submitted for consideration by the Court. Plaintiff shall include with the original paper submitted for filing a certificate stating the date that a true and correct copy of the document was mailed to Defendant. The Court may disregard any paper received by a district judge, magistrate judge, or the Clerk of the Court which fails to include a certificate of service. DATED this 2nd day of August, 2022. UNITED(STATES MAGISPRATE JUDGE